Investigation of patients’ adherence to Angiotensin II Receptor Blockers drug treatment for hypertensive patients in primary medical care (I ADHERE)
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Abstract: The aim of the study was to evaluate the compliance with angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs) treatment in hypertensive patients persistent on this medication for at least 6 month and to identify the factors associated with it. Material and method – Open-label, non-randomized, national, retrospective disease registry, that collected data from 12,538 hypertensive patients in treatment with an ARB for the last 6 months, from 621 study centers of ambulatory clinical practice, mainly cardiological or primary medical care all over the country. The study evaluated the level of ARBs treatment compliance, assessed by applying the adapted Medication Adherence Self-Report Inventory questionnaire (MASRI) part I and estimated the medication possession rate (MPR). The MASRI is a questionnaire filled in by patients addressing the frequency and correct timing of medication intake. Results – The patients, 45.4% male and 54.3% female, with a mean age of 60.9 years old, were treated with combination therapy in >80% of cases and the drugs most frequently associated to sartans were diuretics (~57%). The mainly associated risk factors were hypercholesterolemia (73,7%) and obesity (59,6%) and the most part of the patients are coming from urban environment (73,7%). MPR was above 80% value, considered to be the inferior level of adherence to anti-hypertensive therapy, in 96.6% of the patients on ARB monotherapy and in 96.5% of those with ARB in combinations. None of the evaluated demographic or medical factors influenced significantly the compliance with ARB treatment. In the subgroup of patients with ARBs in combinations, urban environment determined significantly higher compliance than rural environment [relative risk (RR) = 1.093, confidence interval (CI) = 1.018 – 1.173]. Conclusion – Our study showed a very good compliance with ARB treatment in hypertensive patients persistent on ARB treatment for 6 month, in ambulatory practice; MPR> 80% has been registered in 96.5% of the patients with ARBs treatment, in monotherapy or in combinations.
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Abstract: Obiectivele studiului – Evaluarea complianței la tratamentul cu blocații ai receptorilor de angiotensină II (BRA) la pacienții hipertensi cu o persistență de cel puțin 6 luni pe această terapie și, de asemenea, identificarea factorilor asociați. Material și metoda – Registru de boală național, retrospectiv, nerandomizat în care au fost colectate date de la 12,538 de pacienți hipertensi care urmau tratament cu un BRA de 6 luni, din 621 de centre de consultație de specialitate în ambulatoriu, în principal de cardiologie sau de medicină primară, din toată țara. Studiul a evaluat complianța la tratamentul cu BRA, determinată prin aplicarea unui chestionar adaptat de auto-raportare a aderenței la medicație (MASRI partea I) și estimarea ratei de posesie a medicației (medication possession rate, MPR). MASRI este un chestionar completat de către pacienți care se referă la frecvența și la corectitudinea administrării medicăției. Rezultate – Pacienții, 45,4% bărbați și 54,3% femei, cu o vârstă medie de 60,9 ani, primeau terapie combinată în mai mult de 80% din cazuri, iar medicamentele cel mai frecvent asociate sartanilor au fost diuretice (~57%). Principali factori de risc asociați au fost hipercolesterolemia (73,7%) și obezitatea (59,6%) iar majoritatea pacienților proveneau din mediul urban (73,7%). MPR a fost peste valoarea de 80%, considerată a fi limita inferioară pentru aderența la terapia antihipertensivă, pentru 96,6% dintre pacienții cu BRA în monoterapie și pentru 96,5% pentru cei cu BRA în terapie combinată. Niciunul dintre factorii demografici sau medicali evaluăți nu a influențat semnificativ complianța la tratamentul cu BRA. În subgrupul de pacienți cu BRA în cadrul unei terapii combinate, proveniența din mediul urban
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INTRODUCTION

The World Health statistics – Geneva 2012 report, released on 16 May 2012, puts the spotlight on the growing problem of the noncommunicable diseases burden. One in three adults worldwide, according to the report, has raised blood pressure – a condition that causes around half of all deaths from stroke and heart disease. Epidemiological data for Romania are coming from SEPHAR studies which took place in 2005 and 2011, being initiated with the purpose of estimating the hypertension's prevalence, treatment and control in adult population for developing prevention strategies in hypertension management. In SEPHAR II study hypertension was recorded in 40.4% of cases (798 subjects from 1975 responders). Other studies conducted on selected populations showed a variable HT prevalence.

The raised levels of blood pressure represent the consequence of a complex interplay of environmental and genetic factors. The primary goal of treatment of the patient with high blood pressure is to achieve the maximum reduction in the long-term total risk of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. This requires treatment of all identified risk factors and the appropriate management of associated clinical conditions, as well as treatment of the raised blood pressure per se. The use of antihypertensive drug therapy has been shown to reduce the risk of stroke and coronary heart disease by an estimated 30-40% and 20%, respectively, in long-term randomized controlled trials (RCTs).

The underlying haemodynamic disorder in the majority of cases is a rise in peripheral vascular resistance, so the vasodilator effect was an important feature for the strategies developed over time for the treatment of hypertension. Among the antihypertensive classes, a special interest is given to the renin-angiotensin system (RAS) blockers, related to the role of this sitem in the pathophysiology of hypertension and organ injury.

Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs) and angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs) are widely used in patients with hypertension, heart failure and diabetes as well as in other clinical conditions sharing an increased cardiovascular risk. Individual trials and meta-analyses showed that both ACEIs and ARBs are effective in reducing the risk of total cardiovascular events and specific events such as stroke, myocardial infarction and heart failure. Despite the availability of safe and effective antihypertensive agents, hypertension and its concomitant risk factors remain uncontrolled in most patients.

One of the major factor of poor control of hypertension is nonadherence of the patients to medical treatment. Studies have shown that around 50% of individuals discontinue antihypertensive medications within 6 to 12 months of their initiation.

Overall, one third of patients used antihypertensive therapy continuously during the 10 years of follow-up and one third permanently discontinued therapy.

Nonadherence to medical treatments is an increasingly recognized cause of adverse outcomes and increased health care costs. Drug compliance is defined as the extent to which patients follow medical instructions. This term was replaced by ‘adherence’ which includes also the responsibility of the caregivers. Adherence has been defined as ‘the active, voluntary, and collaborative involvement of the patient in a mutually acceptable course of behaviour to produce a therapeutic result’. Medication persistence refers to the act of continuing the treatment for the prescribed duration. It may be defined as “the duration of time from initiation to discontinuation of therapy”.

There are many different methods of assessing adherence to medications. Osterberg et al categorized these methods as either direct or indirect. Direct methods include directly observed therapy, measurement of the level of medicine or metabolite or the biological marker in blood sample. Despite the fact that these direct methods are considered to be more robust than indirect methods, they are not practical for routine clinical use. Indirect methods of adherence assessment include patient questionnaires, self-reports, pill counts, rate of prescription refills, assessment of the patient’s clinical response, electronic medication monitors, measurement of physiological markers and patient diaries. The most commonly used indirect methods include patient self-report, pill counts and pharmacy refills.

The objective of our study is to evaluate the compliance with ARBs medication of hypertensive patients.
already treated for at least 6 month with these drugs. Another goal is to raise the awareness on the importance of treatment adherence in chronic patients and to identify the factors associated with it.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

I ADHERE is an open-label, non-randomized, national (Romania), multicentric, retrospective disease registry, sponsored by Sanofi, that collected data from 12,538 patients, in 621 study centers of ambulatory clinical practice, cardiological or primary medical care all over the country. All patients signed an informed consent for participation and were informed on the study objectives.

The purpose of I ADHERE was to establish the achievement of the prescribed regimen of ARBs (amount of medication actually taken), based on a questionnaire filled by patients, in a population persistent on ARBs treatment for at least 6 months. The study evaluated the level of ARBs treatment compliance, assessed by applying the adapted Medication Adherence Self-Report Inventory questionnaire (MASRI) part I and estimated the medication possession rate (MPR). The MASRI is a 12-item questionnaire originally developed for use in Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) populations. The MASRI addresses the frequency and correct timing of medication intake. Its reliability and specificity were high using a set of measures such as test-retest consistency and internal consistency. In our study we used only the first adapted section of the questionnaire related to the amount of medication actually taken. (Figure 1).

**Patients included** in this study were adults hypertensive patients (according to ESC/ESH guidelines, 2007), age >18 years, men and women, in treatment with an ARBs (in either monotherapy or in combination) for the last 6 months, who accepted to sign the informed consent. **Exclusion criteria** were: patient’s refusal to sign the informed consent; patient’s refusal or incapacity to complete the MASRI questionnaire; ARB treatment for other indication than hypertension.

**Primary objectives** were the assessment of adherence on ARB treatment in hypertensive patients who are persistent on ARBs treatment for at least 6 months, evaluating the level of compliance, in ambulatory practice, by the use of a MASRI (Medication Adherence Self-Report Inventory) type auto-evaluation questionnaire and the estimation of MPR (medication possession rate) considering that MPR 0.80 is the inferior margin of adherence to antihypertensive therapy (according with Siegel D et al.).

We looked also (as secondary objectives) for: finding factors associated with adherence (MPR >0.80) to ARBs given in monotherapy or given in combinations in a population persistent on this medication for 6 months; assessment of standard diagnostic procedures for hypertension in the ambulatory clinical practice and for assessment of standard therapy for hypertension in the ambulatory clinical practice. We collected demographic data of the patients, and also we noted personal history of cardiovascular disease and organ damages, risk factors / comorbidities, standard of diagnostic procedures for hypertension (type of laboratory exams / other exploratory investigations recommended) and previously antihypertensive treatment prescribed.

**Statistical methods**

The main statistical analysis was descriptive: for the continuous data the mean, median and mode values,

---

**Figure 1.** Adapted MASRI questionnaire used in I ADHERE study.
standard deviations and 95% two-tailed confidence interval (CI) have been calculated and for categorical data the proportions and 95% CI (two-sample Z-test). Presuming that 50% of patients have a good treatment adherence, for estimating the adherence rate with a precision of 1.5% and assuming a level of alpha error of 0.05 and a power of 90%, we needed to include at least 4538 patients. If we also assume an attrition rate of 25%, then 5672 patients should have been included. This sample size has been amended one month after the first patient was enrolled. For establishing positive correlation between some factors and drug therapy adherence, the Odds Ratio (OR) had to be calculated, the cut-off value for positive correlation being fixed at 1.5. For the secondary exploratory end-points, the sample-size estimation had to take into consideration the frequency of the factors involved as independent variables in the regression model. For some certain valuable factors, these frequencies estimated are low (no more than 2%). Assuming a cut-off value for OR of 1.5 and also a p-value of 0.05 and a power of 90%, the sample-size had to be at least of 10,555 patients.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the patients

Data were collected for 12,538 patients out of whom only 12,483 were eligible according to the inclusion criteria and were included in the study analysis. 55 patients have been excluded from the analysis because they didn’t meet the inclusion criteria – 13 were not hypertensive patients (according to ESC/ESH guidelines, 2007), and 42 were not treated with ARBs during the 6 months preceding the study visit. The 12,483 eligible patients were diagnosed with arterial hypertension (according to ESC/ESH guidelines, 2007) and were treated with sartans 6 months before the enrollment. 45.4% were male and 54.3% were female (for 0.4% data were missing) and the mean age was 60.9 years. 24.8% of them were living in rural areas, while 73.7% were coming from urban environment, for 1.5% this data were missing.

The mean duration of the arterial hypertension was 6.3 years. The mean values of blood pressure (BP) registered at the study visit were: 153.6 mmHg (sistolic BP), 89.3 mmHg (diastolic BP), (with a maximal value of 280 mmHg for sistolic BP and 160 mmHg for diastolic BP).

Associated risk factors: 34.4% of the patients were smokers (for 0.8% data were missing); 73.7% had hypercholesterolemia (HC) (for 2.9% cholesterol was not determined and for 0.6% data were missing), and 52.8% hypertriglyceridemia (HT) (for 3.7% triglycerides were not determined and for 0.9% data were missing). 59.6% of the patients had abdominal obesity, defined as: > 102 cm for male and > 88 cm for female patients (for 1.6% was not determined, for 1% data were missing); 32.6% had diabetes mellitus (DM) type 1 or type 2 (for 2.7% unknown, for 0.9% data were missing) (Figure 2).

Many of the patients had target organ damage: 49.8% had left ventricular hypertrophy (ECG diagnosed) with 4.1% not determined (for 1.1% data were missing); 38% had retinopathy (for 1.7% data were missing). Proteinuria (>300 mg/24 hours) was determined for 15.4% of the patients and it was present in 8.9% of the cases. Mean value of serum creatinine was 1.07 mg/dl; 8.8% of patients had chronic renal failure (for 2.4% data were missing).

Another important aspect was the high frequency of cardiovascular diseases in hypertensive patients in-
Table 1. ABI – Ankle Brachial Index, FBG – Fasting Blood Glucose, TC – Total Cholesterol, HDL-C – HDL Cholesterol, LDL-C - LDL Cholesterol, TG – Triglyceridemia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EKG</th>
<th>Echo cardiography</th>
<th>Optic fundus exam</th>
<th>Chest radiography</th>
<th>ABI</th>
<th>FBG</th>
<th>TC</th>
<th>HDL-C</th>
<th>LDL-C</th>
<th>TG</th>
<th>Albuminuria</th>
<th>Serum creatinine</th>
<th>Natremia</th>
<th>Kalemia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes n (%)</td>
<td>12186 (97.6%)</td>
<td>9822 (78.7%)</td>
<td>9681 (77.6%)</td>
<td>7895 (63.2%)</td>
<td>5538 (44.4%)</td>
<td>12181 (97.6%)</td>
<td>12097 (96.9%)</td>
<td>10894 (87.3%)</td>
<td>10676 (85.3%)</td>
<td>11894 (95.3%)</td>
<td>6411 (51.4%)</td>
<td>11708 (93.8%)</td>
<td>7452 (59.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No n (%)</td>
<td>238 (1.9%)</td>
<td>2406 (19.3%)</td>
<td>2577 (20.6%)</td>
<td>4128 (33.1%)</td>
<td>6158 (49.3%)</td>
<td>222 (1.8%)</td>
<td>305 (2.4%)</td>
<td>1389 (11.1%)</td>
<td>1575 (12.6%)</td>
<td>589 (4.7%)</td>
<td>5383 (43.1%)</td>
<td>609 (4.9%)</td>
<td>4404 (35.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing data n (%)</td>
<td>59 (0.5%)</td>
<td>255 (2%)</td>
<td>225 (1.8%)</td>
<td>460 (3.7%)</td>
<td>787 (6.3%)</td>
<td>80 (0.6%)</td>
<td>81 (0.6%)</td>
<td>200 (1.6%)</td>
<td>232 (1.9%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>689 (5.5%)</td>
<td>166 (1.3%)</td>
<td>627 (5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The antihypertensive treatment

In the 6 months before the enrollment in the study, the recommended antihypertensive treatment was ARBs in 12,483 patients (100% as per inclusion criteria), ACEIs in 1,271 patients (10.2%), calcium channel blockers in 2,283 patients (19.3%), beta-blockers in 6,990 patients (56.6%), and diuretics in 2,714 patients (23.3%). ARBs were recommended as monotherapy in 1,247 patients (9.9%), and in combinations in 84.1% of the patients. At the study visit, the treatment recommended was represented by ARBs in 12,467 patients (99.9%), ACEIs in 1,201 patients (9.6%), CCB in 3,557 patients (28.3%), beta-blockers in 4,819 patients (37.6%), and diuretics in 7,178 patients (57.2%).

The information on the adherence to ARBs treatment was evaluated using an adapted MASRI (Medication Adherence Self-Report Inventory) questionnaire section (Table 2).

Medication Possession Rate (MPR) was evaluated in relation with the answers given to the last questions of the adapted questionnaire: What is the approximate percentage of the total ARB daily doses that you have been taking during the last month?
According to the answers to this question, in 1912 (96.6%) of the patients who have been recommended ARB in monotherapy and in 10,136 (96.5%) of those with ARB in combinations, it has been registered a MPR above 80%, value considered the inferior level of adherence to anti HTN therapy.

**DISCUSSION**

Hypertension, defined as a systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥140 mmHg and/or a diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥90 mmHg, is one of the most important preventable causes of premature death worldwide, contributing to approximately half of all global cardiovascular disease\(^5\). In many countries, up to 30% of adults have hypertension; cardiovascular disease incidence doubles for every 10 mmHg increase in DBP or every 20 mmHg increase in SBP\(^{20}\).

Blood pressure can be reduced either by lifestyle interventions or by pharmacotherapy to obtain the best outcome for the patient\(^{21}\).

Adequate measurement of BP is the most-important requirement for the diagnosis and treatment of patients with suspected hypertension. The use of methodologies such as ambulatory and home BP monitoring have become powerful tools for defining the ‘real’ BP of patients.

An important issue refers to following the physician’s therapy by the patient. Nonadherence to antihypertensive treatment is a common problem in cardiovascular prevention and may influence prognosis.

Data published in 2009 by Mazzaglia et al., on newly diagnosed hypertensive patients initially free of cardiovascular diseases, obtained from 400 Italian primary care physicians, showed that only high adherence to
treatment (proportion of days covered, ≥80%), significantly decreased risk of acute cardiovascular events. Adherence to prescription, investigated based on a questionnaire in a female population aged 35-65 years in Sweden, revealed that age, scheduled check-up, perceived importance of medication, concerns about medication safety and taking medication for a respiratory or a cardiovascular disease were significantly related to adherence. Adherence ranged from 15-98% being the lowest among young women who regarded their medication as unimportant and who had no scheduled check-up and the highest among elderly women who regarded their medication as important and who had a scheduled check-up. Adherence is better when the patient accepts the severity of his/her illness, trusts the therapist and believes in the effectiveness of the recommended therapeutic measures. Non-adherence is, among other factors, negatively associated with the level of education. Another important factors influencing adherence include the affordability of the therapy and the susceptibility to adverse effects of drugs in individual patients.

ARBs adherence was previously studied evaluating persistence in newly diagnosed hypertensive patients who were initiated on irbesarten. Patients on irbesarten had statistically significant higher persistence (of 60.8% for monotherapy and of 76.8% for either monotherapy or in combinations), followed by patients who were initiated on all other ARBs with a persistence rate of 51.3% (74.9% either as monotherapy or in combinations). Diuretics scored lowest with a persistence rate of 34.4% (65.5% either as monotherapy or in combinations) at 1 year.

Table 2. Adapted MASRI type auto-evaluation questionnaire section I: (a) and (b) denotes a subset of categories which column proportions differ (a/b) or do not differ (a/a) significantly from each other at the 0.05 level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Did you miss your daily dose of ARB yesterday?</th>
<th>ARB in combination (n=10504)</th>
<th>ARB monotherapy (n=1979)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>10,234</td>
<td>1930</td>
<td>97.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don’t know</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No answer</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Did you miss your daily dose of ARB the day before yesterday?</th>
<th>ARB in combination</th>
<th>ARB monotherapy</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>10,261</td>
<td>1939</td>
<td>97.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don’t know</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No answer</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Did you miss your daily dose of ARB three days ago?</th>
<th>ARB in combination</th>
<th>ARB monotherapy</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>10,081</td>
<td>1883</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don’t know</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No answer</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How many daily ARB doses have you missed during last 2 weeks?</th>
<th>ARB in combination</th>
<th>ARB monotherapy</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No dose</td>
<td>9446</td>
<td>1781</td>
<td>89.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 dose</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 or more doses</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don’t know</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No answer</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>When was the last time when you missed a daily dose of ARB?</th>
<th>ARB in combination</th>
<th>ARB monotherapy</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Today</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yesterday</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This week</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last week</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 1 month ago</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 1 month ago</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>7496</td>
<td>1515</td>
<td>71.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don’t know</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No answer</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In a more recent study addressing persistence with antihypertensive treatments for a period of 3 years, Hasford et al. concluded that persistence differs markedly among the drug classes (p≤0.001) but even persistence of the best drug class is not sufficient to provide an adequate blood pressure control in the population. The largest decline in persistence occurs in the first 3 months of treatment. In our study the patients were already persistent on ARB treatment for 6 months, aspect which may explain the high level of compliance.

In Hasford et al. study, persistence with the initially prescribed antihypertensive treatment was significantly different (p<0.001) and longest for patients whose initial prescription was for a free combination based on ACEIs, followed patients initially receiving a fixed combination, including ARBs and ARBs monotherapy. Persistence was shortest with diuretics.

Our study was addressing compliance and show high compliance rate to ARBs in a population persistent on this treatment for at least 6 months and also demonstrate that urban environment, possibly in relation with the level of education, and history of coronary disease are positively correlated with adherence to ARBs. None of the other factors including age, gender, hypertension duration, history of cerebrovascular diseases, diabetes mellitus or heart failure influenced significantly the adherence to ARB treatment in our study.

The comparison between the answers to adapted MASRI questionnaire given by the group of patients with ARBs monotherapy vs. ARBs in combinations has been performed using Chi-Square Test. Differences statistically significant between these subgroups have been identified for the patients who didn’t miss (higher % in combination group) and those who didn’t know if they missed (higher % in monotherapy group) the daily dose of ARB 3 days before, for those who didn’t know how many daily ARB doses have missed during last 2 weeks (higher % in monotherapy group), and for those for whom the last day when they missed a daily ARB dose was last week or more than a month before (higher % in monotherapy group), or never (higher % in monotherapy group) or who didn’t know the answer (higher % in combination group).

Our study was based on a questionnaire filled in by patients. An aspect that should be considered is that physicians generally overestimate the level of adherence to therapy. Poor adherence should be suspected in those whose blood pressure appears resistant to treatment. Monitoring prescription refills and pill-counting are of value when nonadherence is suspected but can be unreliable in patients who wish to avoid admitting their failure to adhere to prescribed regimens.

A limitation of this retrospective registry is that the concept of adherence was separated in two parts – persistence being part of the inclusion criteria and compliance part of the primary objective and the purpose of the registry was to analyse the compliance with ARBs treatment in an already persistent population. Another limitation is that medication adherence appeared to be higher when measured using self-reported questionnaires than when measured using electronic monitoring devices. This questionnaires are subject to measurement bias such as social desirability, recall bias and response bias.

CONCLUSIONS

Our study showed a very good compliance with ARB treatment in hypertensive patients persistent on ARB treatment for 6 month, in ambulatory practice. The level of Medication Possession Rate (MPR) above 80% has been registered in 96.5% of the patients with ARBs treatment, even in monotherapy or in combinations.

The following factors potentially associated with compliance with ARB therapy have been evaluated for those sub-groups of patients (ARB monotherapy and in combinations): age (with 50 years as threshold), gender, living environment (urban / rural), hypertension duration, history of coronary or cerebrovascular diseases, diabetes mellitus and heart failure. None of these individual factors influenced significantly the compliance with ARB treatment.

In the subgroup of patients with ARBs monotherapy the potential factors evaluated did not significantly influence patients compliance with the recommended treatment. In the subgroup of patients with ARBs in combinations urban environment determined significantly higher compliance than rural environment (RR = 1.093, CI = 1.018-1.173).

The logistic regression calculation (taking into account all these potential factors simultaneously) identified that patients living in urban area (p=0.017) and those with history of coronary disease (p=0.025) have a significantly better treatment compliance with ARB treatment.
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