Remote magnetic navigation to overcome anatomic obstacles to a standard ablation procedure
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Abstract: Curative radiofrequency catheter modification of the slow pathway is the recommended therapy for atrioventricular nodal reentry tachycardia (AVNRT) which is usually performed via femoral vein through inferior vena cava (IVC) approach. Diagnostic and ablation procedures are difficult when this approach is not possible due to congenital or acquired obstruction of the IVC. Here, we report a case with IVC anomaly (left IVC with interruption after receiving the renal veins and hemi-azygous continuation) in whom AVNRT ablation was achieved without complications via the azygous system using a remote-controlled magnetic navigation system.
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INTRODUCTION
Curative radiofrequency catheter modification of the slow pathway is the recommended therapy for atrioventricular nodal reentry tachycardia (AVNRT) which is usually done through inferior vena cava (IVC) approach. Problems may arise when this approach is not possible due to congenital or acquired obstruction of the IVC.

Although a superior access (jugular or subclavian) has been proposed as an alternative, these ablation approaches are associated with increased fluoroscopy radiation exposure for the operator, less comfort for both the patient and the operator, poor manoeuvrability and a lower catheter stability.

Advancing the catheter manually through the azygous system has been previously reported in one case with azygous continuation using a hypoplastic but uninterrupted IVC5 and one case with IVC interruption, where venous return drained into the azygous system and a transseptal sheath was placed through the venous anomaly for the advancement of the ablation catheter. To the best of our knowledge remote magnetic navigation was not used for such approach before.

We describe a case with IVC anomaly (left IVC with interruption after receiving the renal veins and hemi-azygous continuation) in whom AVNRT ablation was achieved without complications through the azygous system using a remote-controlled magnetic navigation system.

CASE SUMMARY
A 48-year-old obese female with a history of recurrent symptomatic supraventricular tachycardia in the absence of structural heart disease was referred for ablation. History revealed that in 2008 she had a previous ablation attempt in another centre that failed because it was impossible to advance the ablation catheter inside the heart via femoral approach (only one
thin diagnostic catheter (5F) was advanced. Although it was assumed that the patient had IVC occlusion no diagnostic workup was performed. Physical examination was unremarkable. ECG during tachycardia showed narrow QRS with no retrograde p-waves, at the rate of 180 beats/min with ECG in sinus rhythm being normal (no delta waves).

The echocardiography was also suggesting IVC occlusion. There was also a turbulent flow located at the SVC, just superior to SVC-RA junction. Subsequently a CT angiogram was performed, that documented that IVC was formed on the left side of the aorta and was interrupted after receiving the renal veins with venous drainage into the hemiazygous-azygous system, then superior vena cava and right atrium. There was no segment of the inferior vena cava between the renal veins and the suprahepatic veins which formed a common trunk that drained directly into the right atrium. The CT also showed multiple bilateral small pulmonary emboli (asymptomatic discovery). Oral anticoagulation with NOAC (Apixaban 5 mg x 2/day) was then initiated and continued for one month before the procedure.

The ablation procedure was performed with uninterrupted anticoagulation. Initially a 5F decapolar diagnostic catheter was positioned in the coronary sinus (CS) through the left subclavian vein.

The clinical tachycardia was easily induced with programmed electrical stimulation, initially with a RBBB aberrancy then with a narrow QRS and an activation sequence typical to atrioventricular nodal re-entry tachycardia (Figure 3). Arrhythmia was then terminated with overdrive pacing.

The right femoral vein was cannulated and a RMT ablation catheter (Celsius RMT ThermoCool, 8F, spacing 2-5-2) was introduced (Stereotaxis Inc, Niobe II). As the catheter was introduced using remote magnetic navigation, it passed on left side of the spine (via hemiazygous vein) as it is shown in Figure 4. Afterwards the catheter was advanced to the right side of the spine into the azygos vein, then passing into the superior vena cava (SVC) and subsequently looped into the right atrium as illustrated below.

A slow pathway potential was documented in sinus rhythm and then radiofrequency (56 degrees, 50 watts) applications were delivered, resulting in an accelerated junctional rhythm. Thereafter, programmed atrial stimulation demonstrated the elimination of the slow pathway conduction, and it did not induce any other SVTs. The entire procedure (patient preparation included) took 65 minutes, of which 7 minutes of fluoroscopy and 3 minutes cumulative RF application time. No complications occurred.

Figure 1. ECG with narrow QRS tachycardia.

Figure 2. CT angiogram showing left IVC interrupted after renal level; also visible on the right panel suprahepatic trunk draining in the right atrium.
Remote magnetic navigation

The use of a remote magnetic navigation system (RMT) that was previously used in similar cases could have posed serious risks in an obese patient (pneumothorax, arterial puncture etc.) that were minimised in our case by using only one subclavian puncture for a thin diagnostic catheter (5F). The significant discomfort and risks (increased X-ray exposure for the operator, increased risk of desterilization), of manipulating the ablation catheter in the jugular or subclavian vein were also avoided. The jugular and subclavian veins have been proposed as an alternative approach for the ablation catheter in such cases, however, the potential drawbacks of a superior access could be the poor orientation and poor manoeuvrability of the ablation catheter and lower catheter stability, which are essential to avoid complications such as complete AV block.

**DISCUSSION**

Incidence of IVC interruption in the general population is 0.1-0.6%. The manipulation of the catheters through the azygos continuation of the IVC was described previously in patients with congenital IVC anomalies but it was difficult and time-consuming, because of its long course and angulation, often not allowing His or slow pathway potential localisation. In our case a stable His bundle electrogram was obtained without great difficulty and the RMT catheter had good stability in the slow pathway region (Figure 6). It is important to mention that having a catheter with a soft consistency like Celsius RMT offers a great manoeuvrability in such complicated approach, with practically no risk of perforation. The superior approach (jugular, subclavian) that was previously used in similar cases could have posed serious risks in an obese patient (pneumothorax, arterial puncture etc.) that were minimised in our case by using only one subclavian puncture for a thin diagnostic catheter (5F). The significant discomfort and risks (increased X-ray exposure for the operator, increased risk of desterilization), of manipulating the ablation catheter in the jugular or subclavian vein were also avoided. The jugular and subclavian veins have been proposed as an alternative approach for the ablation catheter in such cases, however, the potential drawbacks of a superior access could be the poor orientation and poor manoeuvrability of the ablation catheter and lower catheter stability, which are essential to avoid complications such as complete AV block.

**Figure 3.** Programmed electrical stimulation inducing clinical tachycardia, left panel - CS activation suggesting AVNRT, right panel - surface ECG showing initial RBBB aberrancy, then narrow QRS.

**Figure 4.** Passage of the ablation catheter: on the left side: 1, 2 in the hemiazygous vein, 3 in the azygous vein, 4 at the junction with the superior vena cava.
The actual risk of manual manipulation of the ablation catheter through jugular/subclavian approach is even higher if we consider how exceptionally rare electrophysiologists use this approach. An overestimated disadvantage could be represented by the non-significant increased procedural costs, which is actually not an important issue if someone considers the low incidence of this anomalies. However a careful analysis of risk/benefit ratio should be performed in each case.

CONCLUSION
An inferior venous approach via hemiazygous-azygos system can be easily obtained in patients with IVC obstruction due to increased catheter flexibility and steering accuracy of the remote magnetic navigation system.
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