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Same day discharge after elective percutaneous coronary intervention is a suitable option for selected non-high risk patients
Stefan Mot¹, Mihai Cocoi¹, Alexandra-Florina Cocoi², Razvan Mada², Serban Adela¹²

Abstract: The safety and feasibility of a same-day discharge (SDD) strategy after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) is still a matter of debate as there is a lack of universally accepted protocols and guidelines that address this topic. The aim of our study was to illustrate that SDD after successful elective percutaneous coronary intervention is a valid option for selected non-high risk patients with ischemic heart disease. 441 patients referred for elective PCI to CARDIOTEAM Medical Centre (Cluj-Napoca, Romania) during a time period of 2 years were prospectively observed. Stable subjects without severe comorbidities, radial access and no intra and/or peri-procedural complications were considered for same-day discharge after successful PCI procedure done by a high-volume operator. The symptomatic status, need for readmission, renal function and major adverse cardiac events (myocardial infarction, need for repeated percutaneous revascularization or coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) and death) were assessed one month later. 402 out of 441 patients (91%) were discharged during the same day. Minor puncture site complications occurred in a small number of patients (25), without impacting the discharge strategy. 7 patients needed redo-PCI; there were no deaths, myocardial infarction or need for CABG in the first 4 weeks of follow up. 6 patients developed contrast-induced nephropathy with complete recovery at follow-up. We conclude that same day discharge after successful elective PCI is a safe, feasible and cost-effective strategy for selected patients with ischemic heart disease, when performed by experienced operators.
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INTRODUCTION

The advances in interventional technology and anti-thrombotic/antiplatelet therapy have promoted percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) as a safe and effective treatment strategy in patients with ischemic heart disease\textsuperscript{1,11}. Considering the high prevalence of coronary artery disease around the world, the costs generated by this invasive procedure have a major impact on health care systems\textsuperscript{2,3}.

In elective patients undergoing successful and uneventful PCI, two follow-up strategies are currently being used worldwide: under 24h observation and/or same-day discharge (SDD)\textsuperscript{4,5,11}. Despite the growing evidence in literature supporting the later strategy in carefully selected patients, overnight observation remains the standard approach in many centers\textsuperscript{3,6,11}. The main concern is related to potential peri-procedural events such as acute stent thrombosis, myocardial infarction or vascular access complications (which were significantly reduced by the use of radial access\textsuperscript{22}). An important argument in favour of SDD in current available studies, is that major acute adverse events occur within the first 6 hours after the procedure\textsuperscript{7,9,11}. Moreover, a SDD-strategy reduces the costs per patient as well as the risk of adverse events associated with prolonged hospitalization\textsuperscript{15-13}.

A SDD-strategy after elective, uncomplicated PCI, has the potential of increasing availability of the procedure\textsuperscript{10}. This is particularly important in areas with a low density of catheterization laboratories, as is Eastern Europe and thus Romania.

In this study we intended to illustrate that SDD after successful elective PCI is a feasible, safe and cost-effective procedure for both low and intermediate risk patients.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This is a prospective, single-center observational study: we screened 441 consecutive adult patients, with stable CCS classes I-III angina, who underwent elective PCI at CARDIOTEAM Medical Center (Cluj-Napoca, Romania) between January 2015 and December 2016. Criteria used for a SDD-strategy consisted of: both male and female adult patients, with no upper age limit with a previous diagnosis of stable angina (CCS I-III), on optimal medical therapy according to current practice guidelines, with no important blood-test abnormalities, who signed the informed consent and underwent elective, uneventful PCI for one or more severe (>70%) coronary artery stenosis/occlusion, asymptomatic after PCI, with good mental status, adequate social support and who live within 60 minutes from a primary PCI center. The high risk patient population, who needed overnight hospitalisation and surveillance, consisted of: subjects with acute coronary syndromes or symptomatic heart failure with low-ejection fraction (HFrEF), patients with severely abnormal blood-tests (Hg <10 g/dL, HTC <25%, PLT<100000/uL, positive Tnl/T, CrCl <60 mg/dl, INR >2), with lesions unsuitable for PCI, or with intra-procedural complications (acute vessel closure, no-reflow or slow-flow, coronary dissection or coronary perforation needing urgent treatment), use of atherectomy devices or unsuccessful PCI, persistent chest pain after the procedure, use of glycoprotein (GP) IIb/IIIa antagonists, altered mental status, decompensated chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), Body Mass Index (BMI) >40, inappropriate social support or remote location (>60 minutes from a primary PCI center). Also, because at that time we did not use femoral closure devices, all cases with femoral access were excluded, irrespective of access site complications or not. The follow-up period for the study population was one month after the index-PCI. The study was approved by the local Ethical Committee.

Patient preparation: all patients were pretreated with aspirin and statins. A loading dose of 600 mg Clopidogrel or 180 mg Ticagrelor was given in the morning of the procedure. Dual antiplatelet therapy was continued for 1 to 12 months after PCI, depending on the type of device used (BMS, DES or BVS), complexity of coronary lesions and comorbidities. Echocardiography, ECG and blood tests were performed in the morning of the intervention. BMI was calculated for each subject. Patients aged >75 years and/or with diabetes mellitus received 500 ml iv saline 0.9% before the procedure. Ambulation was done 2 hours after the procedure and patients were discharged after 6 hours of surveillance. ECG was repeated at the end of the procedure. All SDD patients received a telephone call within 24-48h after the procedure and a clinical follow-up (cardiology consult and standard blood tests) was performed 4 weeks after the procedure, or sooner in case of new onset of symptoms.

Procedural aspects: all procedures were performed by a single experienced operator via a 6F right or left radial/ulnar access with intravenous administration of weight adjusted unfractionated heparin (70-100 IU/kg). Neither bivalirudin nor post-procedural heparin were used. Sheath removal was performed with ma-
nual compression and local haemostatic bands in the catheterisation laboratory after the procedure. The haemostatic devices were removed the morning after the procedure by the patient. Technical aspects such as: number, characteristics and lesion location, number of implanted stents, type of stent and procedural result were recorded. Intra- and post-procedural complications such as coronary dissections, perforation, access site bleeding and other local/general complications were documented. Troponins were not routinely assessed before discharge. The angiographic risk profile was assessed for each subject based on the coronary lesion distribution: patients with single vessel disease (aside from significant left main disease or ostial lesions) were considered to have a low risk profile; the presence of ostial lesions, multivessel disease, bifurcation lesion and chronic total occlusion were considered complex PCI lesions with higher procedural risk profile (Figure 1).

Descriptive statistics were used to analyse the study population.

RESULTS

Demographic characteristics of the patients are as follows: the mean (±standard deviation) age of the study population was 64±10 years. 289 were men, 121 were current smokers and 58 were former smokers. 208 subjects had a history of arterial hypertension, 302 had mixed dyslipidemia, 125 were diabetic and 217 had a BMI >25 kg/m². 112 patients had a history of myocardial infarction, 89 a history of percutaneous coronary revascularization and 18 a history of surgical revascularization.

402 out of 441 patients (91%) were discharged during the same day. 7 patients were excluded due to unsuccessful PCI, 5 due to hospitalisation for close renal function monitoring, 4 due to coronary dissection, treated percutaneously. The femoral access had to be used in 14 patients due to unfeasible radial ulnar or brachial access. In 9 patients successfully treated we preferred overnight surveillance due to lack of social support or remote location.

A total of 639 vessels were treated (1.45 per patient) using 777 stents (1.76 per patient). Complex PCI was performed in 313 patients. Bifurcation lesions were treated in 113 cases. Of these, in 88 cases a provisional strategy was used, while 25 lesions were treated with two stents. Ostial lesions were stented in 67 subjects. PCI for chronic total occlusion was performed in 8 patients. Procedural details such as the
Table 1. Type of revascularization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Number of patients (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POBA</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 stent implanted</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 stents implanted</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 stents implanted</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 stents implanted</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

POBA = Plain Old Balloon Angioplasty.

Table 2. Types of stents used in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of stent</th>
<th>Number of patients (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMS (Prokinetic – Biotronik)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DES (Xience – Abbott, Resolute Integrity–Medtronic)</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVS (Absorb – Abbott)</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMS + DES</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DES + BVS</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMS = Bare Metal Stent; DES = Drug Eluting Stent; BVS = Bioresorbable Vascular Scaffold.

Figure 2. Complex PCI lesions before and after stenting: A. Single vessel disease (Ostium of the LAD), bifurcation lesion; CTO. B. Multivessel disease.
There was a small number of patients who were readmitted due to recurrent symptoms, but none within the first 24 hours after discharge; however, no PCI is completely predictable thus, intra and peri-procedural complications can occur\textsuperscript{17,18}, emphasizing the need for available hospitalisation beds even when the procedure is performed electively and a SDD-strategy is chosen.

Subjects who developed CIN had multiple risk factors (age >75 years, diabetes mellitus and arterial hypertension) and complex coronary lesions which needed high contrast volumes (>200 ml). However, after proper treatment their CrCl returned to baseline values.

In Romania, subjects with stable coronary artery disease usually undergo PCI as in-hospital patients. However, the limited number of hospitals where catheterization laboratories and trained operators are available, in addition to emergency priorities, limit the access to interventional treatment for an important number of patients with ischemic heart disease, generating prolonged waiting lists. The SDD-strategy increases availability of the procedure as both national and private practice laboratories can implement the strategy, improving medical care. Moreover, several studies have shown that patients’ satisfaction is significantly improved when they were discharged on the same day\textsuperscript{10,14,15,19-21}.

The financial implications of this approach cannot be neglected. Health Care Systems usually reimburse elective PCI as an outpatient procedure, defined as hospitalization <24 hours. Hospitals receive the same amount of money regardless of the length of stay during this time interval\textsuperscript{11,12}. Moreover, it was previously showed in a model from 206489 patients (Victoria, Australia - 2005/2006) that the costs per patient are almost doubled (from 950 to 1850 euros) in the case of overnight stay\textsuperscript{13}.

**LIMITATIONS**

This is an observational study, therefore a complete statistical comparison between different strategies is difficult. Moreover, the study population is limited, as all procedures were done in a private practice; this makes observing rare complications hard as the number of patients followed is small; the follow-up period was limited to one month therefor late complications (in-stent restenosis or scaffold thrombosis/restenosis) could not be evaluated.

The patients considered for SDD-discharge, were non-high risk subjects; we believe that subjects with
high-risk profiles are not suitable for this strategy, therefore a good patient selection is probably the most important factor contributing to the safety of early discharge.

These are the results of one highly experienced, high-volume operator, therefore general conclusions and recommendations cannot be drawn regarding intra- and peri-procedural complications in other centers with less experienced operators. However with good patient selection, and proper follow-up, complication rates can be low enough to support a SDD-strategy in the majority of PCI-cases.

CONCLUSION
For our particular study population (non-high risk patients), same day discharge after successful elective percutaneous coronary intervention was a safe, feasible and cost-effective strategy.
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