CASE PRESENTATION

Thrombus-in-transit through a patent foramen ovale
Ramona Olteanu¹, Razvan Onea¹, Cristina Enciu¹

Abstract: Even though almost 30% of the general population presents a persistent foramen ovale (PFO) the paradoxical embolism is a rare finding (2% of arterial emboli) and the thrombus is rarely caught passing through the PFO. Diagnostic imaging remains the cornerstone for accurate diagnosis of a thrombus-in-transit, especially using transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) with a high specificity. There is a lack of evidence-based recommendations for the management. We report a 38-year-old female with an intermediate-low risk pulmonary embolism (PE). An entrapped thrombus across a PFO was revealed by TEE. Subsequent imaging has shown a splenic infarction thus confirming the diagnostic of paradoxical embolism. The main factor that led to right to left shunt through PFO was the irritating cough provoking a Valsalva maneuver. She underwent early surgical thrombectomy with PFO closure. Thrombus-in-transit remains a rare entity and an effective option of management is early surgical embolectomy. Further studies need to be done in order to establish the best management strategies.
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INTRODUCTION

First described in echocardiography in 1985¹, a paradoxical embolism is a rare finding (2% of arterial emboli) and the diagnosis is largely presumptive based on the demonstration of a right-to-left shunt and evidence arterial embolism associated with venous thrombus or pulmonary embolism (PE)². Definite demonstration of a thrombus crossing a persistent foramen ovale (PFO) is a rare finding³⁴⁵ although it may be underestimated due to lack of routine echocardiography. The situation is life threatening but the treatment of choice is still controversial⁶⁷.
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CASE PRESENTATION

A 38 year-old non-smoking woman was referred to our emergency department with a 2-week history of progressive exertion dyspnoea, pleuritic inter-scapular pain, irritating cough and left limb pain. Her only medication included oral contraceptive pills. On examination, she was mildly tachycardic (100 beats/min) but hemodynamically stable (BP 115/82) with only minimal respiratory distress at rest (oxygen saturation 93% on room air). The physical examination was normal.
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Blood tests revealed elevated inflammatory markers: white blood cells: 17000/mm³, C-reactive protein of 237 mg/l. Arterial blood gas analysis at room air revealed an oxygen partial pressure of 73 mmHg for a pH of 7.44, paCO₂ 29 mmHg. Cardiac biomarkers were in the normal range.

Computer tomographic (CT) pulmonary angiography was requested and confirmed the presence of proximal bilateral pulmonary emboli (Figure 1).

Bedside FoCUS transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) was then rapidly performed to assess right heart involvement. Unexpectedly, FoCUS TTE revealed a wormlike floating mass which seemed attached to the right atria septum in the vicinity of the proximal superior cava, deeply extending into the right ventricle across the tricuspid valve. There were no signs of right ventricular dysfunction, but only mild tricuspid regurgitation with right ventricular systolic pressure of about 30 mm Hg.

Heparin treatment was initiated and the patient was transferred to our Intensive Care Unit for monitoring.

The subsequent comprehensive radiologic examination revealed on lower abdominal CT images a small filling defect in the splenic artery compatible with an embolus.

In the light of this finding, we rapidly decided to perform a transoesophageal echocardiography (TEE) which showed a large, mobile thrombus from the right ventricle towards the right atrium across the tricuspid valve then passing through a PFO into the left atrium (Figure 2, Video 1). Subsequent lower limb Doppler ultrasonography also detected deep vein thromboses into the left femoral and popliteal veins.

The patient was immediately referred to a cardiac surgical centre for surgical intervention. Fresh thrombemboli in bilateral pulmonary arteries and both atria were removed, the PFO was closed by direct suture and an inferior cava filter was placed. Conventional anticoagulant therapy was initiated, and the patient recovered uneventfully one week later.

**DISCUSSION**

Transient right-to-left shunt can occur with cough, vomiting, Valsalva, explaining how an in-transit thrombus can be entrapped in a PFO even in the setting of normal pressure relationships in rest⁸. We hereby hypothesize that in our patient the entrapment was provoked by temporarily raised pressure in the setting of an irritating cough.

Performing routine TTE in patients with PE and a low PESI score is not considered necessary at present⁹. In our patient, FoCUS TTE has been rapidly performed before calculation of the clinical severity index, but had it not been carried out, this serious condition would have been missed.

Right heart thrombi are still regarded as a significant predictor of poor prognosis in PE¹⁰. However right heart thrombi in PE were occasionally described even in patients without markers of myocardial injury or
right ventricular dysfunction, as was the case of our patient.

Clinical features leading to the correct diagnosis of entrapped embolus can be misleading. While a few patients present with a classical diagnosis of PE and paradoxical embolism, the majority of patients present with a PE diagnosis alone and up to 7% present with paradoxical embolism diagnosis alone. All these findings taken together lend support to the rather systematically use of TTE in patients diagnosed with PE.

In our patient, the presence of systemic embolus at the time of the initial CT study for PE strongly supports the fact that right atrial thrombus was already traversing the PFO by the time the bedside FoCUS TTE was carried out, but unfortunately was not noticed. This points out the inherent limitations of FoCUS echocardiography usually performed in the Emergency department, endorsing the viewpoint according to which referral for TTE examination is mandatory as soon as possible in all cases with abnormal findings on FoCUS TTE.

In a review published by Aboyans, systematic TTE suggested the diagnosis in only 80% (35/44) of patients with entrapped thrombus through PFO

In the largest systematic review reported so far since 1991, the diagnosis was suspected in only 145 patients from 166 patients initially undergoing TTE. There are also several other cases reported in which TTE incompletely detected only the right or only the left side component of an actually straddling thrombus across a PFO. Finally, TEE permitted accurate diagnosis in all this instances.

These findings suggest the necessity of systematically and rapidly proceeding with TEE in the setting of any right heart thrombus suspected by TTE or CT angiography, even in patients with no clinical suspicion of paradoxical embolism.

Given the rather mediocre diagnostic yield of TTE in this rather severe condition, it can be argued that a TEE should be rather systematically performed in every patient with PE and/or paradoxical embolism. However, the very low incidence of right heart thrombus pleads against this cumbersome strategy. Nevertheless, a strategy involving the systematic use of FoCUS TTE in low-Score PESI patient with PE and more awareness to the right heart pathology during the initial CT study for PE may offer a cost and time effective option enabling to screen at-risk patients.

No randomized trial has been conducted to compare treatment options in these patients. In patients with PE and right heart thrombi, Rose reported a better survival rate when comparing thrombolysis to either anticoagulation or surgery. There is a theoretical threat of left sided fragmented embolisation during antithrombotic treatment in the setting of thrombus traversing into left heart cavities. Some systematic retrospective reviews showed that surgery was associated with fewer post-treatment embolic events and a lower mortality compared with thrombolysis or anticoagulation therapy.

Surgery is therefore preferred in patients with a thrombus straddling a PFO and in this situation it must be done without delay. However, in patients hemodynamically instable or having important comorbidities (stroke, progressive cancer, friability), thrombolysis or anticoagulation are equally acceptable therapeutic alternatives.

The safety of the TEE has been established in large series of patients. However, little experience exists in using TEE in patients with right heart entrapped or free-moving thrombus due to the rarity of this condition. To the best of our knowledge only two cases were reported with a right atrial mass dislodged during TEE and causing massive PE. This should incite to caution when performing urgent TEE in such patients. When the diagnosis of thrombus entrapped in a PFO can be clearly established by TTE, time-consuming TEE should be probably avoided.

This case illustrates the role of different echocardiographic modalities, their advantages and equally their limits in detecting and managing a rare but serious condition requiring urgent intervention.
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